



“A nation can survive its fools and even the ambitious. But it cannot survive treason from within. An enemy at the gate is less formidable, for he is known and carries his banners openly against the city. But the traitor moves among those within the gates freely, his sly whispers rustling through all the alleys, heard in the very halls of government itself. For the traitor appears not a traitor; he speaks in the accents familiar to his victims, and he wears their face and their garments, and he appeals to the baseness that lies deep in the hearts of all men. He rots the soul of a nation; he works secretly and unknown in the night to undermine the pillars of a city; he infects the body politic so that it can no longer resist. A murderer is less to be feared.”

~Cicero, 45 BC

“If everyone enjoyed the unrestricted use of his faculties and the free disposition of the fruits of his labor, social progress would be ceaseless, uninterrupted and unending. But there is another tendency that is common among people. When they can, they wish to live and prosper at the expense of others. The annals of history bear witness to the truth of it: The incessant wars, mass migrations, religious persecutions, universal slavery, dishonesty in commerce, and monopolies. This fatal desire has its origin in the very nature of man...in that primitive, universal and insuppressible instinct that impels him to satisfy his desires with the least possible pain.”

~Frederick Bastiat, 1848

On January 10, 1776 a pamphlet entitled “Common Sense” was anonymously published in Philadelphia. Written by Thomas Paine, Common Sense logically argued the reasons why the American colonists should govern themselves rather than be ruled by a monarch who sits some 3,000 miles and three months travel time away.

Paine’s little booklet sold 500,000 copies in the country when the population was 2,500,000, or one copy to every five persons.

Officers read Common Sense to their soldiers, teachers to their classes, parsons to their congregations. George Washington was endorsing its “sound doctrine and unanswerable reasoning.”

Thomas Paine contributed as much with his pen as Washington with his sword to the creation of this Republic. The reason his little book was so successful was because “it made sense,” appealing to the person on the street.

Common Sense II, inspired by Paine’s pamphlet, is intended to arouse the insight and spirit of the true nature of our form of government . . . of freedom.

One hundred copies of this booklet passed out can become 1,000. One thousand can become 10,000 and 10,000 can become 10,000,000. To duplicate the effect that Paine’s Common Sense had on the American people in 1776 would require the distribution of 56,000,000 copies of Common Sense II.

The American people are the foundation of our concept of government. The strength of that foundation is dependent upon our knowledge and applied common sense.

Cover

The signal lantern of Paul Revere displayed in the steeple of the North Church, Boston, Massachusetts, warned the country of the march of the British troops to Lexington and Concord on April 19, 1775.

Second Printing October, 2002

Contents

Chapter I

A Sovereign Nation-State Republic	1
Republic v Democracy	3
Our Right to Be Secure In Our Home	5
County Government is the Building	
Block of Our Political System	9
Colorado's Federal Mandate Act	10
Arresting Power of the Sheriff	13
The Purpose of the Jury System	15

Chapter II

Destruction of Our Form of Govt.	17
Machiavellian Politics	17
Three Types of Conquest	20
Walls in Our Minds	21
Newspaper Control in America	24
Money and Gold	29
Interest/Usury	35

Chapter III

IRS and the Federal Reserve	39
NAFTA/GATT	42
Environmental Laws That Over-	
Regulate Small Business	43
CAFRs	47

Chapter IV

Wars Do Not "Just" Happen	50
Are We in a Police State?	52
Illegal Immigration	53
Secret Experiments	54
Conspiracy Theories	57

Chapter V

Sovereignty and Jurisdiction of Rights . . .	59
Life Terms of Supreme Court Justices . .	63
The Missing 13th Amendment	65
The Rule of Law Today	67

Chapter VI

Declaration of Violations to the	
Constitution	72
Address to the People/Public Officials . .	79
Dear County Commission/Sheriff	81
Sample Petition	83
Index to the Constitution	85

Forward

What is really happening in America and the world today? Dedicated individuals have been asking the same questions and researching the answers. This booklet is a modest compilation of some of this work. It has been organized in such a manner as to answer some of the most perplexing questions of our times. Americans have grown up believing that they live in the best and freest nation in world history. However, closer inspection proves that the U.S. government has been secretly stealing freedom from the American people for decades. Challenging government usurpation of our inalienable rights in government courts reveal an entirely

different authority than what we were taught to believe exists in America.

This booklet shows how our form of government was designed to function and how it functions today. It also describes how certain organizations and individuals have taken control of our constitutional republic. These individuals and organizations have managed to reverse the constitutionally intended order of sovereign citizens as masters of government servants.

The analyses within this booklet are from the best available information to date. The issues proposed are stated in general terms so that lines of logic and common sense are able to become clear; it is intended to inspire further thought and research.

Though the forces aligned against the common man may seem overwhelming, centuries of fraud and deceit through freedom-violating unconstitutional acts of Congress could be repealed with the simple stroke of a constitutional pen. Please research for yourself anything in this booklet that you cannot accept. Our only desire is to see the foundations of our country restored and secured to their proper standing; that the sovereign state citizens of our nation be reinstated as masters of government servants.

Chapter I

A Sovereign Nation-State Republic

Throughout the early history of civilized mankind, the common man had been ruled primarily by king-monarch/feudal-slave forms of government.

Some historians have described the Renaissance of 15th century Europe as the period of transition between the Middle Ages and the modern era. During this period the feudal and ecclesiastical elements of the medieval world were gradually but steadily transformed, first in Italy, then in the rest of Europe, by the development of capitalism and urban societies.

Knowledge that the Middle Ages was a period of achievement has increased in recent times. So has an awareness that the Renaissance did not emerge suddenly out of medieval darkness, but from the fruit of a long, complicated process that involved the technical ingenuity and intellectual thought of several European peoples.

For example, the development of printing with movable metal type around mid-15th century Germany, amounted to a communications revolution on the order of the invention of writing, yet this invention was the result of work from the development of previous inventions.

The Reformation of the 16th century had an enormous impact upon the quantity and quality of literary output. Without the printing press, it would have been impossible for the Reformation to have ever occurred. As with printing, geographical discovery was also the fruit of a long, complicated process. Navigational instruments derived from the Arabs, astronomical tables and sea charts drawn up by Hispanic and North African Jews, square-rigged ships designed by Spaniards and sailed by Italian mariners, were critical to European conquest during the age of exploration.

Thus, the Renaissance of the 15th century provided the environment that led to an explosion in technological development, creativity and voyages of discovery, being the bridge between the Dark Ages and the Industrial Revolution.

Under these conditions, people in England and elsewhere, established colonies in North America. Once the colonies became established and self supporting they united to free themselves from British tyranny.

After we won the Revolutionary War our Founding Fathers put into writing a new concept of government. During its development they took into consideration the successes and failures of governments throughout history. In their wisdom they settled upon a republic that identified the common man as the sovereign and government as the servant. The concept of people as masters of government servants is the basis of our unique and, at that time, unprecedented form of governance.

The key to this concept was the idea that man as an individual is a political being; that it is his nature to participate in public life and to interact with one's fellowmen in making decisions; that individualism has profound implications for man's intellectual and social existence.

The best setting for this social order was the republican form of government in which no one had a monopoly of power and citizens were devoted to the welfare and service of the community.

Thus, for those Founding Fathers who were seeking true sovereignty and freedom for the individual, statecraft was a discipline based on timeless rules or laws. And from this came our Constitution for the United States of America, as a sovereign nation-state republic.

“The cause of America is, in great measure, the cause of all mankind.”

~Thomas Paine

Republic v Democracy

In the republican form of government, the power rests in a written constitution, wherein the powers of the government are limited so that the people retain the maximum amount of power themselves. In addition to limiting the power of the government, care is also taken to limit the power of the people to restrict the rights of both the majority and the minority.

A simple method of illustrating the difference between a democracy and a republic would be to discuss the basic plot to the classic grade B western movie.

In this plot, one that the moviegoer has probably seen a hundred times, the brutal villain rides into town and guns down the unobtrusive town merchant by provoking him into a gunfight. The sheriff hears the gunshot and enters the scene. He asks the assembled crowd what had happened, and they relate the story. The sheriff then takes the villain into custody and removes him to the city jail.

Back at the scene of the shooting, usually in a tavern, an individual stands up on a table (this individual by definition is a demagogue) and exhorts the crowd to take the law into its own hands and lynch the villain. The group decides that this is the course of action that they should take (notice that the group now becomes a democracy where the majority rules) and down the street they (now called a mob) go. They reach the jail and demand that the villain be released to their custody. The mob has spoken by majority vote: the villain must hang.

The sheriff appears before the democracy and explains that the villain has the right to a trial by jury. The demagogue counters by explaining that the majority has spoken: the villain must hang. The sheriff explains that his function is to protect the rights of the individual, be he innocent or guilty, until that individual has the opportunity to defend himself in a court of law. The sheriff continues by explaining that the will of the majority cannot deny this individual that right. The demagogue continues to exhort the democracy to lynch the villain, but if the sheriff is persuasive and convinces the democracy that he exists to protect their rights as well, the scene should end as the people leave, convinced of the merits of the arguments of the sheriff.

The republican form of government has triumphed over the democratic form of mob action.

In summary, the sheriff represents the republic, the demagogue the control of the democracy, and the mob the democracy. The republic recognizes that man has certain inalienable rights and that government is created to protect those rights, even from the acts of a majority. Notice that the republic must be persuasive in front of the democracy and that the republic will only continue to exist as long as the people recognize the importance and validity of the concept. Should the people wish to overthrow the republic and the sheriff, they certainly have the power (but not the right) to do so.

But the persuasive nature of the republic's arguments should convince the mob that it is the preferable form of government.

~A. Ralph Epperson

The Unseen Hand

Our Right To Be Secure In Our Home Is Inherent, Inalienable

Most of us have heard of Samuel Adams from America's revolutionary era. He was a second cousin to President John Adams and the primary agitator that stirred people's minds and hearts from Boston to Williamsburg. Adams' agitations were directly responsible for the social conditions that allowed the Revolutionary War to be fought and won.. Loyalists and the British called Adams, John Hancock, Paul Revere, John Adams, Thomas Jefferson, George Washington and the other great men to which we proudly refer as our Founding Fathers, "radicals."

How many remember a man named James Otis from our history lessons?

James Otis was one of Massachusetts' most brilliant lawyers in the 1760s. Otis brought about a profound change in Samuel Adams' philosophical views during a trial in February, 1761. In the name of 63 Boston businessmen, he challenged the authority of what were called Writs of Assistance. Beginning in the 1660s these writs were used by

British customs officials to catch smugglers and search for contraband. However, the writs had no expiration date and by the 1760s, British agents enjoyed unbounded authority to go anywhere, search anything and break down any door. Otis observed that the Writs were being executed with the help of Governor Bernard of Massachusetts, who by law received a rake-off of one-third from each auction of impounded property, consequently fingering a fortune. During the trial, Otis argued that not even Parliament could abrogate the rights of private property or the home of any Englishman, be he even the lowliest, most humble fisherman. What was at stake was the right of a man to his life, to his liberty, and to his property. "This writ is against the fundamental principles of English law!" he asserted. "The lowliest man should be as safe in his home as a prince in his castle...safe from kings, safe from Parliament. The kind of power, the exercise of which, in former periods of English history cost one king of England his head and another his throne," Otis said, referring to Charles I and James II. In finishing, Otis emphasized the simple argument that was to haunt relations between England and the colonies for the next 15 years: "An act against the constitution is void. An act against natural equity is void."

Otis spoke hypnotically without a break for more than four hours and lawyers present at the trial talked of that day for the rest of their lives. Sam Adams could recite his words with great emotion. "A law contrary to the Constitution is void. Man's right to liberty and property is inherent, inalienable. Man's right to freedom is higher than the state's right to collect revenue."

These were some of the true issues that led to the Revolution. Taxation without representation was an issue that followed later. A constitution for the States of America would not be ratified for another 30 years after James Otis made his presentation in 1761.

The present war on terrorism seems to resonate with these same issues with which our forefathers struggled, allowing the excuse for increased search and seizure laws that violate our constitutional rights.

Our 4th amendment right states:

The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue but upon probable cause supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

A Law Contrary To The Constitution Is Void

The general misconception is that a statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. The U.S. Constitution is the supreme law of the land and any statute, to be valid, must be in agreement.

Constitutional Law *16 Am Jur 2d*

Effect of Totally or Partially
Unconstitutional Statutes

1. Total Unconstitutionality

The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, whether federal or state, though having the form and name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose, since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it, an unconstitutional law, in legal contemplation, is as inoperative as if it had never been passed. Such a statute leaves the question that it purports to settle just as it would be had the statute not been enacted. No repeal of such an enactment is necessary.

County Government Is The Building Block Of The American Political System

The Constitution for the United States of America is a concept of "Power to the People" and is uniquely American. When the necessary number of the original thirteen colonies ratified the United States Constitution, they established a government in which political power was decentralized. By constitutional contract they surrendered to the federal government only specified powers. Powers not delegated to the federal government were reserved to the States and to the People respectively. Rather than to permit such a logical conclusion from being misunderstood, the 10th amendment so specified that intent. Under this concept of government power is concentrated at the level closest to the People and most responsive to the desires and wishes of the individual.

County government is the building block that forms the basis for this concept of governance and the sheriff, county commissioner and county judge, elected by “the People,” are the local chieftains in the proper functioning of our government.

Our locally-controlled and accountable county governments no longer function properly. This critical building block of the American political system has crumbled under the weight of federal funding that binds our counties to federal mandates. This federal involvement in county business is directly linked to the ever-increasing regulations that are destroying our livelihoods and way of life.

Public officials insist that we must have this federal funding for our roads and other infrastructure needs. If this continues we will no longer have infrastructure needs as we will one day find ourselves regulated out of existence! If our counties were not bound to economically destructive regulations through acceptance of federal funding, economic opportunity would be greatly enhanced. There would be no need for federal funds. Free of unreasonable federal regulations, industry and jobs will be attracted to our counties and existing business would be able to thrive just like they did before federal encroachment began crumbling the county building block.

Colorado’s Federal Mandate Act Amends State Code

In 1994 Colorado passed both a Resolution and a Federal Mandate Act challenging federal authority. It was signed by the Governor and approved by both the Colorado House and Senate.

Colorado House Joint Resolution 94-1035 reads in part:

Whereas, The 10th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States reads as follows: “The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people;” and

Whereas, The 10th Amendment defines the total scope of federal power as being that specifically granted by the United States Constitution and no more; and

Whereas, The scope of power defined by the 10th Amendment means that the federal government was created by the states specifically to be an agent of the states; and

Whereas, Today, in 1994, the states are in fact treated as agents of the federal government; and

Whereas, Many federal mandates are directly in violation of the 10th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States; and

Whereas, The United States Supreme Court has ruled in *New York v United States*, 112 S. Ct. 2408 (1992), that Congress may not simply commandeer the legislative and regulatory processes of the states; now, therefore, Be it Resolved by the House of Representatives of the Fifty-ninth General Assembly of the State of Colorado, the Senate concurring herein:

(1) That the State of Colorado hereby claims sovereignty under the 10th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States over all powers not otherwise enumerated and granted to the federal government by the United States Constitution.

(2) That this serve as a Notice and Demand to the federal government, as our agent, to cease and desist, effective immediately, mandates that are beyond the scope of its constitutionally delegated powers. [end quote]

Colorado’s Federal Mandate Act (Article 78) amends Colorado state code and reads in part as follows:

24-78-102 Legislative declaration.

(1)(a) In enacting this Article, the General Assembly employs its legislative authority to establish that the people of the State of Colorado, acting through their elected officials in Colorado State Government, have the responsibility and authority to establish policy in and for Colorado pertaining to Federal programs mandated in Federal Statutes.

(1)(c)(II) Any implementation of Federal Policies in and for Colorado by Federal Executive Branch agencies that is contrary to fundamental notions of federalism and self-determination must be identified and countered.

(2)(c) The Tenth Amendment of the United States Constitution directs that powers that are not delegated to the United States are reserved to the states or to the people. Colorado, as one of the sovereign states within the union, has constitutional authority to enact laws protecting the environment of the state and safeguarding the public health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Colorado. However, this authority has too often been ignored by the Federal Government, as the Federal Government has intruded more and more into areas that must be left to the states. It is essential that the dilution of the authority of the state and local governments be halted and that the provisions of the Tenth Amendment be accorded proper respect.

(2)(d) Current Federal Regulatory Mandates, as reflected in Federal Administrative Regulations, guidelines, and policies, often do not reflect the realities of the Rocky Mountain Region, and Federal Regulators frequently do not understand the needs and priorities of the citizens of Colorado. [end quote]

Note: Passage of Colorado's 10th Amendment Resolution legitimizes concerns that federal encroachment into states rights are destroying our form of government. Though a step in the right direction, the Colorado resolution, at the state level, is yet too far removed from the People. Similar resolutions must be passed at the county level to reinstate the form of governance envisioned by our Founders.

Some say the Constitution is outdated. We ask what part that may be? Is it the part protecting our right of free speech, of religion, the press? Or is it the 4th Amendment that allows us to be secure in our homes? Perhaps it may be the part providing the right to trial by jury? It would certainly have to be the 2nd Amendment, allowing the People the right to bear arms as a last defense against tyranny in government.

Do Federal Agents Have Arresting Power Without The County Sheriff?

It is only through the county sheriff that an outside agency is permitted to exercise its authority.. The sheriff is the only "chief executive" in his county who has arresting power. Properly executed, the sheriff's authority can have an immediate effect in bringing federal agencies and public officials to obey the law. The sheriff presents the greatest challenge to the misuse of authority by a central government. However, we have already seen how the use of federal funding obligates county governments to federal mandates. Those mandates are used to justify federal intrusion into the lives of county residents. It is apparent that the trend for the last several years has been in the direction of removing power from the hands of the People at the State and local level and concentrating more and more power over people into the hands of unelected bureaucrats at the federal level.

International bodies are also vehicles being used to erode "People Power" at the local level and circumvent the Constitution. Currently we are seeing federal agencies surrender unconstitutionally-usurped state powers to international bodies such as the United Nations and the World Trade Organization.

We the People who elected these officials must demand accountability from them and confront them as to where their loyalties lie. "We" elected them to work for "Us," and protect "Us" when federal agencies exceed their delegated authority. We did not elect them to conform to the edicts of federal or international bodies. We must exercise "people power" to support and compel them to do their jobs.

Our only recourse to stop the destruction of our constitutional republic is through local government.

The Power and Purpose of Our Jury System

Jury nullification of law was built into our form of government to make sure that laws must pass the test of popular authority before being enforced. The Constitution provides five separate tribunals with veto power—the House of Representatives, the Senate, the executive agencies, the judiciary and the jury. Each enactment of law must pass muster in all five bodies before it gains the authority to punish those who choose to violate it. Thomas Jefferson said, "I consider trial by jury as the only anchor yet imagined by man, by which a government can be held to the principles of its constitution."

Before our jury system devolved to what we have today, juries had the right to judge both "the law itself" as well as the facts. Our country's first Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court, John Jay, is quoted saying exactly that in 1789. Also, U.S. Supreme Court Justice Samuel Chase, 1796, and Oliver Wendell Holmes, 1902, are quoted saying the same words. The Jury has the power to judge both the law and the facts. Harlan F. Stone, 12th U.S. Supreme Court Justice, 1941, said, "The law itself is on trial quite as much as the cause which is to be decided." In *State of Georgia v Brailsford*, et al, the finding was, "You have a right to take upon yourselves to judge of both, and to determine the law as well as the fact in controversy."

Almost every jury in the country today is falsely instructed by judges when they are told they must accept as the law that which is given to them by the court and that the jury can decide only the facts of the case. If people acting in the name of government are permitted by juries to dictate any law whatever and to dictate what evidence is admissible or inadmissible (which is generally conviction-oriented evidence) then the whole truth is prevented from being considered and the issue of fact becomes virtually irrelevant.

Part of the problem lies with the general misconception that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance

of law constitutes the law of the land. The U.S. Constitution is the supreme law of the land, and any statute, to be valid, must be in agreement. It is impossible for a law which violates the Constitution to be valid. Congress can legislate (make law), the president or some other bureaucrat can make an order or issue regulations, and judges may instruct or make a decision, but no juror can ever be punished for voting "Not Guilty." Any juror can choose to disregard the instructions of any judge or attorney in rendering their vote. Thus, those acting in the name of government must come before the common man to get permission to enforce a law.

Common sense should tell us that without the power to decide what facts, law and evidence are applicable, juries cannot be a protection to the accused and true justice is denied, leaving us with trial by government and not trial by jury.

Paraphrasing George Washington:

Government is like fire. We bring it into our homes to keep us warm, but we build a chimney to keep the fire from consuming us. The Constitution is the chimney that keeps government from consuming us. Has the chimney collapsed, and is our constitutional house on fire?

Chapter II

The Destruction of Our Constitutional Republic

In Chapter I the proper structure of constitutional government was explained with the county being identified as the building block of our Constitutional Republic. Within that context it was illustrated how outside influences have crept in to crumble the building blocks of our government of, by and for the people. In this chapter we will take a look at the philosophies of conquest and the methods being used to destroy our Constitutional Republic.

Machiavellian Politics

Over 400 years ago, the Florentine statesman Niccolo Machiavelli engaged in a profound study of methods used by various rulers to attain power. He lived in an age when nations were small, in some cases only walled cities, when events were moving fast and when many men were struggling for power. Due to his own confidential government position, he was able to evaluate the methods of those who succeeded and to observe the mistakes of those who failed. In "The Prince" he reduces his conclusions to definite rules or doctrines. His conclusions, in general, appear to find support in the Dante's DeMonarchia—written two hundred years before "The Prince."

The findings of Machiavelli and other students of power decree that to obtain power it is essential to ignore the moral laws of man and of God; that promises must be made only with the intention to deceive and to mislead others to sacrifice their own interests; that the most brutal atrocity must be committed as a matter of mere convenience; that friends or allies must be betrayed as matter of course as soon as they have served their purpose. But, it is also decreed that these atrocities must be kept hidden from the common people except only where they are of use to strike terror into the hearts of opponents; that there must be kept up a spurious aspect of benevolence and benefit for the greater number of the people, and even an aspect of humility to gain as much help as possible.

It is held that the vast mass of the people are oblivious and gullible, and therefore will believe a lie which is repeated again and again, regardless of how obvious may be the fundamental facts to the contrary. But, in Chapter VI of "The Prince" is decreed also: ". . . matters should be so ordered that when men no longer believe of their own accord, they may be compelled to believe by force."

It is obvious that in the early stages of the usurpation of power in any land of even partial democracy, opposition is certain to arise, and that an attempt to suppress this antagonism by arbitrary means would quickly inflame and solidify the opponents into an overwhelming attack. Machiavelli considered this aspect and indicated the correct method to neutralize this danger in stating: "Many consider, that a wise prince, when he has the opportunity, ought with craft to foster some animosity against himself, so that, having crushed it, his renown may rise higher."

This indicates the technique of modern Machiavellians in having their own stalking horses grasp the leadership of their opponents, and then as their own veiled and hidden action is gradually unfolded, have their Pied Pipers oppose

them on spurious and superficial reasons in such a way as to obscure and conceal as far as possible the real reasons and objectives; thereby confusing and confounding the real opponents and leading them into a swamp of futility. War, according to Machiavelli, must be applied at almost regular intervals to maintain power. It is held that it is not a passing madness, but that it is a normal and indispensable tool of power. It must be applied promptly and ruthlessly to be effective in its function of maintaining and extending power. Machiavelli very urgently warned against any alliance with a more powerful friend, and counseled that in cases where this was unavoidable, the stronger friend must be regarded as a certain potential enemy who must be undermined and destroyed as soon as circumstances permit with the aid of the common enemy and of weaker friends.

~E.C. Knuth

“The Empire of “The City”

Note: These philosophies and tactics are historically well known among the ruling class. Events unfolding before us today begin to make sense when these methods are considered.

Three Types Of Conquest

History reveals nations can be conquered by the use of one or more of three methods.

The most common is conquest by war. In time, though, this method usually fails, because the captives hate the captors and rise-up and drive them out if they can. Much force is needed to maintain control, making it expensive for the conquering nation.

A second method is by religion, where men are convinced they must give their captors part of their earnings as “obedience to God.” Such a captivity is vulnerable to philosophical exposure or by overthrow by armed force since religion, by its nature, lacks military force to regain control once its captives become disillusioned.

The third method can be called economic conquest. It takes place when nations are placed under “tribute” without the use of visible force or coercion, so that the victims do not realize they have been conquered. “Tribute” is collected from them in the form of “legal” debts and taxes, and they believe they are paying it for their own good, for the good of others, or to protect all from some enemy. Their captors become their “benefactors” and “protectors.”

Although this is the slowest to impose, it is often quite long-lasting, as the captives do not see any military force arrayed against them, their religion is left more or less intact, they have freedom to speak and to travel, and they participate in “elections” for their rulers. Without realizing it, they are conquered, and the instruments of their own society are used to transfer its wealth to the captors and make the conquest complete.

~Pastor Sheldon Emry

*Billions for the Bankers
and Debts for the People*

What is accomplished in open warfare, destroying a nation’s infrastructure, has been accomplished today in America, without firing a shot.

Walls In Our Minds

Mankind was created with a capacity to learn, think, reason and create. We are born with a brain which begins to accumulate information within a few weeks after birth. From age five, most children are exposed to a politician-controlled government school system that continues for 13 years. The child’s brain is a captive of mankind’s worst enemy on planet earth. Governments have destroyed more property, confiscated more wealth and ordered the murder of more men, woman and children than any other enemy. Government cannot be trusted with the minds of our children.

When we consider how the mind of a five year-old is so vulnerable to manipulation, it should cause parents and grandparents great concern. Some third world countries still hear the chant of the witch doctor as he manipulates the minds of his fellow tribesmen. We think we are too intelligent to be manipulated. Mind manipulation is alive and well in educated America. At no time in our government schools or government churches do we find lessons being taught on the difference between knowing and believing. Our teachers teach what they believed when they went to school.

We have thousands of religious creeds, cults and denominations, all competing for believers. Preachers have become salesmen of religion. The quality of the product is not as important as the dollars collected and the number of

followers. Our churches should recognize the danger of being manipulated by believing false information. When government began its takeover of the education system, the church should have opposed the idea. The church has not been a separate power acting as a check against evil government.

If someone complains about how our government is conducting its business, most Americans will agree that something must be done. However, if you refuse to pay federal income tax, you will be accused of being unpatriotic. People will complain about government, but they don't want anyone to cut off government's income. The basic reason for this is mind control. Walls have been built in the minds of the American people that limits the ability to think and reason. The government school did not teach that government is man's worst enemy. That is a fact that any free mind can comprehend.

During World War II, we were taught how our government was better than the German or Japanese governments. After the war, we were taught how our government was better than what the Russians had. The Russian government controls the schools in Russia. The teachers in Russian schools are teaching children that the Russian government is better than the United States government. It would appear that teachers in the USSR and teachers in the USA have a real problem. Somebody is teaching false information and that somebody is teachers on both sides. Government schools in the USSR and in the USA are both in error. An argument as to which government is the best is like comparing AIDS and cancer. You might prefer cancer over AIDS, but you really don't want either one. If you have two rotten oranges, you will throw both of them into the garbage. Logic tells us not to trust government with the education of our children.

We have been going to the polls on election day as good little brainwashed Americans and usually voted against someone, rather than for someone. You tried to decide which one was AIDS and which one was cancer. You tried to determine which orange was more rotten than the other. You went away from the polls frustrated. The lesser of two evils may be elected, but the changes you would like to see are not going to happen. Every law that is created will be designed to give politicians and government more of your money, power, liberty and freedom.

~M.J. 'Red' Beckman

Walls In Our Minds

**A lie and the liar are not
dangerous until the lie is believed.**

The walls in our minds and a nation of government regulators "just doing their job," are powerful forces that have allowed tyrants to conquer this great nation.

Newspaper Control In America

One of the more widely recognized virtues of the American way of life has been its "official" national philosophy, as set forth in the First Amendment of the Constitution, that "Congress shall make no law...abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press..." Throughout America's history an independent and competitive press has been regarded as essential to the effective maintenance of her republican form of government. It was the press' responsibility to provide factually the news and information necessary for the maintenance of a conscious and alert citizenry. The American press of today is a far cry from that which existed in the days of Benjamin Franklin and Thomas Paine. The technological advances which the newspaper industry has undergone in the last century have been profound. Today the size, material quality, and format of newspapers, as well as the ability to provide a metropolitan area containing hundreds of thousands of readers with several editions a day, would certainly amaze the Founding Fathers. Yet, despite this advance in newspaper technology, they would probably be shocked by the growing monopolistic centralization of American newspapers and disgusted by the kind of managed news which is being presented to the American people.

In the 1790 until 1798 Benjamin Franklin Bache was the editor of The Philadelphia Aurora—an opposition newspaper that published weekly counterpoints to the pro-government-no-matter-what Porcupine's Gazette. By the Civil War, big newspapers such as the New York Times were fully cooperative with the interests of government through their editorial policies. The prevalence of pro-government newspaper publishing by the 1880s was memorialized one night when preeminent New York journalist John Swinton was the guest of honor at a banquet given him by his peers. Swinton was managing editor of the New York Times during the Civil War, later becoming a crusading journalist in the movement for social and labor reform.

A toast was offered to the independent press and Swinton later outraged his colleagues when he said the following: "There is no such thing, at this date of the world's history, in America, as an independent press. You know it and I know it.

"There is not one of you who dares to write your honest opinions, and if you did, you know beforehand that it would never appear in print. I am paid weekly for keeping my honest opinion out of the paper I am connected with. Others of you are paid similar salaries for similar things, and any of you who would be so foolish as to write honest opinions would be out on the streets looking for another job. If I allowed my honest opinions to appear in one issue of my paper, before twenty-four hours my occupation would be gone.

"The business of the journalists is to destroy the truth, to lie outright, to pervert, to vilify, to fawn at the feet of mammon, and to sell his country and his race for his daily bread. You know it and I know it, and what folly is this toasting an independent press?

"We are the tools and vassals of rich men behind the scenes. We are the jumping jacks, they pull the strings and we dance. Our talents, our possibilities and our lives are all the property of other men. We are intellectual prostitutes." Today, influential minorities which constitute two percent or less of the total U.S. population have effectively achieved dominion over America's newspaper industry. The intense consolidation of newspapers into monopolies has resulted in the American public being offered only biased and censored news. We have an entry from Congressional Record that pinpoints the day controlled media and big government were engaged to be formally wed.

On February 9, 1917 the following statement was entered into the Congressional Record of the Sixty-Fourth Congress by a member of the [defense appropriations] committee:

Mr. Callaway: Mr. Chairman, under unanimous consent, I insert into the Record at this point a statement showing the newspaper combination, which explains their activity in the war matter, just discussed by the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. Moore]:

In March, 1915, the J.P. Morgan interests, the steel, ship building and powder interests and their subsidiary organizations, got together 12 men high up in the newspaper world and employed them to select the most influential newspapers in the United States and sufficient number of them to control generally the policy of the daily press in the United States.

"These 12 men worked the problems out by selecting 179 newspapers, and then began, by an elimination process, to retain only those necessary for the purpose of controlling the general policy of the daily press throughout the country. They found it was only necessary to purchase the control of 25 of the greatest papers. The 25 papers were agreed upon; emissaries were sent to purchase the policy, national and international, of these papers; an agreement was reached; the policy of the papers was bought, to be paid for by the month; an editor was furnished for each paper to properly supervise and edit information regarding the questions of preparedness, militarism, financial policies and other things of national and international nature considered vital to the interests of the purchasers.

"This contract is in existence at the present time, and it accounts for the news columns of the daily press of the country being filled with all sorts of preparedness arguments and misrepresentations as to the present condition of the United States Army and Navy, and the possibility of the United States being attacked by foreign foes.

"This policy also included the suppression of everything in opposition to the wishes of the interests served. The effectiveness of this scheme has been conclusively demonstrated by the character of the stuff carried in the daily press throughout the country since March, 1915. They have resorted to anything necessary to commercialize public sentiment and sandbag the National Congress into making extravagant and wasteful appropriations for the Army and Navy under false pretense that it was necessary. Their stock argument is that it is 'patriotism.' They are playing on every prejudice and passion of the American people." [end quote]

The disproportionately powerful political and economic control of the news media has allowed them to choose presidential candidates, swing elections, control foreign and domestic policy, and determine generally what is to be acceptable in every aspect of American culture. They do this by screening and selecting the items to be presented, by the way these items are presented, the emphasis and treatment accorded them, the headlines and pictures used, the typography and format employed in the writing and pictorial representations. News is played up and played down, dramatized, repeated, juxtaposed, spelled out, underscored, even falsehoods, to enhance its influence in the desired directions.

A nation is only as strong as its institutions, and, as an institution, journalism in America has ceased to serve the vital interests of the American People.

The media and the government like to put labels on people who talk about, or refer to, the Constitution as conspiracy nuts; anti-government, skin headed white supremacists. This shows a clear example of brainwashing to

make people think black is white and up is down. They call some of us constitutionalists or patriots as if they were bad things, but . . . isn't that what we "all" should be?

Money and Gold

The Bible teaches that the love of money is the root of all evil. Money by itself is not the root. It is the love of money, defined as greed, that motivates certain members of society to acquire money.

It becomes important, then, for the members of the middle class to understand what money is and how it works.

Money is defined as: "anything that people will accept in exchange for goods or services in a belief that they may in turn exchange it for other goods and services."

Money becomes a Capital Good. It is used to acquire Consumption Goods (and other Capital Goods as well.) Money also becomes a method of work avoidance. Money can work for its possessor: "When money is put to work, it works twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week, three hundred and sixty five days a year, and stops for no holidays."

So the desire to acquire money to reduce a need to work becomes the motive of many individuals in the society.

The first man was self-sufficient. He produced what he wanted and stored what he needed for those times when he was unable to produce. He had no need for money until other humans appeared and joined him in the acquisition of Consumption Goods. As populations grew, specialization grew, and certain individuals produced Capital Goods instead of Consumption Goods. Man soon discovered that he needed something as a store of value to enable him to purchase Capital Goods when he was not producing Consumption Goods.

Durable commodities, those that didn't spoil with the passage of time, slowly became that store of value, and in time the most durable, a metal, became the money of society. The ultimate metal, gold, became the final store of value for a variety of reasons.

But as the producer of gold saw the need to set this money aside for future use, problems arose as to how and where it should be stored. Since gold had a high value in what it could purchase in both Capital Goods and Consumption Goods, it became a temptation to those who were willing to take it from the owner by force. This led the owner of gold to take means to safeguard his holdings. Certain individuals, already experienced in the storage of non-durable goods, wheat for instance, soon became the storage facility for gold as well.

These warehouses would take the gold and issue the gold owner a warehouse receipt, certifying that the owner had a given quantity of gold in storage at the warehouse. These gold receipts could be transferred from one person to another, usually by writing on the back of the receipt that the owner was transferring his claim on the gold in the warehouse to another person. These receipts soon became money themselves as men accepted the receipts rather than the gold they represented.

Since gold is scarce and the quantity is limited, it was impossible to make counterfeit money. It was only when the warehouseman realized that he could issue more gold receipts than there was gold in the warehouse that he could become a counterfeiter. He had the ability to inflate the money supply, and the warehouseman frequently did this. But this activity only acted temporarily because as the quantity of gold receipts in circulation increased, because of the economic law known as inflation, the prices would rise. The receipt holders would start to lose confidence in their receipts and return to the warehouseman to claim their gold. When more receipt holders showed up than there is gold in the warehouse, it is called a "run," and is caused because the people have lost faith in their paper money and have demanded that the society return to the gold standard where gold becomes the money supply.

The people's check on the warehouseman, i.e. their ability to keep the warehouseman honest by constantly being able to redeem their gold receipts, acted as a restraint to the inflation of the gold supply. This limited the greed of the counterfeiters and forced them into looking for alternative methods of increasing their wealth. The next step was for the counterfeiter to ask the government to make the gold receipts "Legal Tender" and also prohibit the receipt holder from redeeming the receipt into gold. This made the paper receipt the only money able to be circulated. Gold could no longer be used as money.

But this posed an additional problem for the counterfeiter. He now had to include the government in his scheme to increase his personal wealth. The greedy leader of the government, when approached by the counterfeiter with this scheme, often decided to eliminate the warehouseman altogether and operate the scheme himself. This was the final problem for the counterfeiter. He had to replace the leader with someone he felt he could trust and who would not use government to remove the counterfeiter from the plot. This process was costly and extremely risky, but the enormity of the long-term wealth that could be accumulated by this method was worth all the hazards.

In time, the counterfeiters, who became the international bankers of today, developed a strategy by which they could make certain that the government they loaned money to did not repudiate the loans through a plan called "Balance of

Power Politics.” This meant that the bankers loaned to two governments at the same time, affording them the opportunity to play one against the other as a means of forcing one to pay his debts to the banker. The most successful tool of insuring compliance with terms of payment was the threat of war: the banker could always threaten the defaulting government with a war as a means of forcing it to make their payments. This act of repossessing the nation would almost always work as the head of government, anxious to keep his seat of power, would agree to the terms of the original loan, and continue his payments.

The key to using this tool, however, was making certain that both kingdoms were nearly the same size, so that one nation would not become so powerful that the threat of a war with a weaker neighboring nation would not be sufficient to force it into making its payments.

Coin clipping is another method for increasing the wealth, and control of money by the bankers, in cahoots with government. This is done by calling in all the gold or silver coins and replacing them with coins made of a more plentiful metal, such as copper or aluminum. The most recent example of this activity, called “coin substitution,” occurred during the Johnson administration when the government replaced silver coins with coins of various metals.

~A. Ralph Epperson

The Unseen Hand

With a basic understanding of how international bankers operate, it is possible to understand the nature of our recent past and the power the international bankers gained once they secured control of the money.

Today this has become a very refined art with the warehouseman, the “bankers,” in cahoots with government. The privately owned Federal Reserve System is the warehouse for America, operating on an entirely counterfeit system which is supported only by our blind faith in their “fiat money.” (Paper money of government issue which is legal tender by fiat or law and does not represent, nor is it based upon, gold and contains no promise of redemption.)

History has shown that whenever there has been fiat money, tyranny has always followed.

On a global scale, the international bankers (warehouseman), are loaning their fiat money (computer entries) to countries such as Brazil and Argentina in exchange for their natural resources as collateral, then manipulating entire world markets through inflation or deflation, allowing them to loot the resources of these countries as the loans come into default—all for nothing more than computer entries. The same game has “already” been played on the American People, the farmers in particular, i.e. the depression, bankruptcy in 1933 and the Social Security Act in 1935, putting the American People and their property up as collateral to the IMF and World Bank.

“All the perplexities, confusion and distress in America arise not from defects in our constitution, not from want of honor or virtue, so much as from downright ignorance of the nature of coin, credit and circulation.”

~John Adams

“Whoever controls the volume of money in any country is absolute master of all industry and commerce.”

~James Garfield

“History records that the money-changers have used every form of abuse, intrigue, deceit and violent means possible to maintain their control over governments by controlling the money and its issuance.”

~James Madison

“I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous than standing armies and that the issuing power of money should be taken from the banks and restored to governments to whom it properly belongs.”

~Thomas Jefferson

“If ye love wealth better than liberty, the tranquility of servitude better than the animating contest of freedom, go home from us in peace. We ask not your counsels or arms. Crouch down and lick the hands which feed you. May your chains set lightly upon you, and may posterity forget that ye were our countryman.”

~Samuel Adams

“Man can live and satisfy his wants only by ceaseless labor; by the ceaseless application of his faculties to natural resources. This process is the origin of property.

But it is also true that a man may live and satisfy his wants by seizing and consuming the products of the labor of others. This process is the origin of plunder.

Since man is naturally inclined to avoid pain...and since labor is pain in itself...it follows that men will resort to plunder whenever plunder is easier than work. History shows this quite clearly. And under these conditions, neither religion nor morality can stop it."

~Frederick Bastiat

The Law, 1848

CHAPTER III

THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM AND THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

On February 25, 1913, the 16th Amendment to the Constitution was signed into law, which states that Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes on income. However, there is irrefutable evidence that the amendment was not properly ratified. Thirty-six states must support an amendment before it can be signed into law and in 1913, only the "appearance" was given to Congress that this had occurred. In reality no more than four states properly ratified the amendment and the remainder of the states presented fraudulent documents. Fraud is defined as being a deception deliberately practiced in order to secure unfair or unlawful gain. These documents have all been traced and proven to be fraudulent.

On December 23, 1913, Congress passed the Federal Reserve Act which illegally transferred Congress' power to coin money and regulate the value thereof, to a consortium of private bankers. This was an unconstitutional act in violation of Article I, Section 8, paragraph 5, Constitution for the United States. Nowhere does the Constitution authorize Congress to delegate such power.

There is nothing federal about the Federal Reserve System and there is irrefutable evidence that it is a privately owned corporation whose class A Stockholders are various international bankers of which, less than half are citizens of the United States. In place of real, lawful money (gold and silver coin) as legal tender, the Federal Reserve issues private commercial paper for only the cost of the paper and ink, then exchanges it for interest bearing United States Bonds to be repaid in the labor and substance of the American People.

The absolute reason why Congress must control the issuance of our currency is because congress "cannot" charge interest for the use of what should be our money. The bankers, however, "can" charge interest for the use of their unconstitutional paper (fiat) money. Americans should not pay for the use of "their" money. If the Federal Reserve System were abolished, their collection agency, the Internal Revenue Service, could likewise be abolished. The Constitution allows the operation of government to be financed from duties, imposts and excises, which amounted to approximately \$500 billion before NAFTA was passed. That amount would sufficiently support the functioning of the United States Government if it were not burdened with an ever increasing bureaucracy.

This act surrendered a power so great that the owners of the Federal Reserve were capable of creating the depression of the 1930s by withdrawing \$80 billion from circulation of the economy, bankrupting the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA on June 5, 1933, effectively placing the international bankers in the position of trustee/creditor of our national assets.

William Jennings Bryan, democratic presidential candidate, 1896, 1900, and 1908, is quoted saying, "The Federal Reserve Bank, which should have been the farmers greatest protection, has become his greatest foe. The deflation of the farmer was a crime deliberately committed." Congressman Charles A. Lindbergh, Sr. said of this, "The Federal Reserve Act establishes the most gigantic trust on earth. When the president signs the bill, the invisible government by the monetary power will be legalized. The worst legislative crime of the ages is perpetrated by this bill." Rep. Louis T. McFadden stated, "We have in this country one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks. The Federal Reserve Board has cheated the government of the United States out of enough money to pay the national debt."

Most people believe there is a law that states we must file and pay income tax. This is not true. Neither the IRS nor the Department of Justice have been able to produce a law that compels us to pay the income tax. This is because the law does not exist. Americans need to pay income tax only if they choose to volunteer their payment and the IRS itself states that the tax is voluntary. However, if an IRS form 1040 is signed because we have been intimidated to believe we must do so, then we have signed a contract stating that we volunteer to pay the IRS a said sum, waiving our 5th Amendment right to not testify against ourselves.

The Internal Revenue Service routinely ignores substantive and procedural due process rights secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States. IRS personnel encumber property with mere “Notices of Lien,” which have been fraudulently transferred by the county recorders into the lien indexes of their counties. They then seize everything up to and including wages, bank accounts, automobiles and homes without court orders authorizing encumbrance and seizure.

Politicians and other public officials who live off money confiscated from working people will argue that government cannot survive without the individual income tax.

This nation grew and prospered for over 130 years without an individual income tax. Since the income tax, our government has prospered and our nation and its people have been robbed. This government today is inefficient, wasteful and corrupt—bankrupting who were once the most prosperous people in the world.

NAFTA / GATT

There is nothing free or fair about the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) or the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) and our national sovereignty is severely effected by these agreements.

The Constitution allows for the operation of government from duties, imposts and excises. NAFTA/GATT shifts income from import duties, which was lost because of these agreements, “away from corporations,” to the backs of the American taxpayers.

NAFTA/GATT encourages American manufacturing to transfer production to foreign countries with cheap labor, lax environmental laws and lax safety regulations.

As a result of NAFTA and GATT America will no longer possess the necessary means to produce food or manufactured goods here at home in time of crisis.

Environmental Laws That Overregulate Small Business

Environmental groups have been mapping every corner of rural America for a Wildlands preserve. They are hard at work, well funded and intricately organized in their efforts to turn our rural areas into uninhabited Wildlands preserves. Early Wildlands Project documents call for managing 50%-95% of the U.S. land mass as wilderness. Environmental groups spend years suing local business and government for nearly everything they do. Small family businesses die. Local governments are financially crippled. Only giant corporations operating locally survive the cost-prohibitive regulatory process. The local economy becomes so depressed that the community cannot defend itself.

Public and private lands are coming under seemingly unrelated federal regulatory schemes that have been developed to enforce environmental laws passed by Congress. Under laws known as the Wetlands Act, the Endangered Species Act (ESA), the Clean Air Act and the Clean Water Act, the federal government has gained control over how public and private property can or cannot be used. By controlling the use of property, the federal government controls its value.

Private property that cannot be used has no value—to either the owner or a prospective buyer. The design against private property rights becomes apparent as, once a property owner is forced to sell at federally-deflated value, the property is purchased by environmental front groups such as the Nature Conservancy. In the case of the Columbia River Gorge in the 1990s, the Nature Conservancy purchased property at bargain basement prices and, within 10 minutes, sold it to the U.S. Forest Service for a 30 percent profit.

When the conservancy group or federal entity owns so much of the former private rural property that the remaining land holders are surrounded, the decimation of the community with pressure tactics including fines, imprisonment and land condemnation will begin. The inexorable death process of a rural community becomes a local horror as the besieged residents watch the urban news media give them either bad press or none at all. Such conditions totally destroyed what is now the ghostown of Bridal Veil, Oregon.

How do they achieve their goal?

The vast network of international to grassroots environmental organizations which coordinate and cooperate to fulfill the Wildlands vision are driven with funding by international charitable foundations such as those founded by the Rockefellers and the Pews. These foundations provide funding for the biased research and legal-technical

expertise used to achieve the Wildlands goal.

Wildlands Project International cooperator groups meet with international industry CEOs to plan world resources strategy. Grassroots environmental groups are then fed directives and technical expertise through the Wildlands Project network to designate regions of the U.S. where public access to public lands is controlled or altogether eliminated.

Therefore, the global economic elite, through their charitable foundations, fund the environmental groups to lobby and litigate for regulations only the elite can afford to comply with or circumvent. This destroys the competition—family-owned, small and mid-level businesses. Environmental regulations have become the route taken by the international corporate elite to defeat the antitrust laws enacted to prevent marketplace monopolies.

These global economic superpowers fund and direct the use of certain “scientific” studies that promote their position with regard to environmental policy. For instance, geology and archaeology were largely ignored because their findings did not support approved environmental dogma. Paleoecology and environmental geology were invented to substantiate their environmental policies. Conservation biology is merely a theory cofounded by Dr. Reed Noss and Dr. Michael Soule, partners with Dave Foreman, founder of Earth First! who also conceived the Wildlands Project. The environmental groups use the courts to force federal management agencies like the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service to adopt the economic elite-funded research as “best available science.” Through lawsuits and appeals, federal management agencies are forced to act on issues before their own scientific studies are complete. They are forced to make their decisions with the biased research because the current legal system dubs it “best available science.” What began as laws to protect species habitat and environmental quality on federal land has, in just a few decades, resulted in the federal purchase, condemnation, or management of the vast majority of both public and private property in rural America.

R M A P

One of the best and most recent examples of government usurpation of private property rights is the Road Maintenance and Abandonment Plan (RMAP) in Washington state. This invasive land use management plan resulted from directives of the Endangered Species Act and are part of the Forests & Fish law passed in 1999. RMAP requires private roads of family forest owners to be brought up to federal standards or the road must be abandoned. The new law pertains to parcels of land 500 acres and larger and is intended to eventually include parcels two acres and larger. The Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR) is responsible for administering the program.

Essentially private forest owners in Washington State must bring “private” roads (driveways) up to EPA standards, at outrageous costs, or face fines, imprisonment and/or abandonment of the road. This would severely devalue the land if one were forced to sell, since the law requires the seller to inform the buyer of his RMAP obligation.. The mandate was forced on state legislators who were blackmailed into signing the RMAP bill under threats by the Clinton administration that the state would be sued under ESA. There has been much back-peddling by the state recently because of overwhelming opposition from the rural people of Washington state. If this mandate is stopped completely, the fact of the intentions will remain—the state wants access to private property in an effort to satisfy the property rights-abusive desires of the federal government. Property ownership, and the right to be secure in that property, is fundamental to freedom.

Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs)

Federal law requires that that city, county, state and federal governments must produce an annual accounting document that proves governments within the U.S. keep two sets of books. One set, the “budget,” is commonly available and tracks each government entity’s costs and tax revenue. The second set of books, called the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) contains the full accounting of total government “income.” The budget is the financial record that’s seen by the public and used by politicians to justify new government services and higher taxes; the CAFR is the financial record that is rarely seen by the public and is used by politicians and their accountants track investments and retirement accounts accruing on public money.

Where budgets show that taxes must continue to increase to pay for increases in the cost of government, CAFRs show that governments have millions, even billions of dollars in assets.

How it works is like this: Anything that is a cost or expense for public services (the traditional side of the Annual Service Budget, such as the Department of Transportation, Health and Welfare, etc.) is reported on the budget where

public taxes primarily pay 100% of the bill for those services. However, any government agency that is a “profit center” (Port Authority, investment accounts, etc.) that generated no tax revenue, is “restricted by statute” from being reported in, and benefiting the Annual Budget. This is because state legislatures have passed laws to prevent reporting the income from investment or venture profit centers on the budget. Instead, income from these profit centers is disclosed only on the CAFR or other financial reports in the notes of the CAFR.

When breaking down the true revenue income, the most important revelation is that only one-third of the states’ income comes from taxes, fines and fees. Two thirds of state governments’ income is derived from “Other Sources” with no direct tie to the publicly known budget. When looking at the openly disclosed “budget,” which each year continues to grow at a runaway pace, taxation primarily covers the expenses.

This dual system of books is common among the over 54,000 local government corporate entities operating within all 50 states and was created in 1946 through an organization by the name of Government Financial Officers Association (GFOA). GFOA policy dictates the primary local government accounting structure in use today.

Governments use bond surety escrow accounts to evade the rule that government should not operate at a “profit.” That is, government should not impose more taxes than it actually uses to run the government. By designating tax revenue that exceeds operating costs as “bond surety escrow” for future liability, government avoids calling excess revenue “profit.”

Essentially, government entities, including all cities, counties, states and federal, are collecting hundreds of billions of virtually unreported dollars from decades of investment wealth, listed as “Other” operations. What this implies is that our government is collecting several times as much tax revenue as it spends on public services and using the majority of those revenues to enrich, empower and enlarge government at public expense.

Although the public is generally ignorant concerning CAFR, the primary cause for that ignorance is not the politicians but the mainstream media. Any reporter or media outlet that touches the issue would be silenced or driven from journalism. As a result, there’s been a total mainstream media blackout on disclosing CAFR reports. For over 25 years the directors and CEOs of the primary syndicated media organizations, both print and broadcast, have been sent state CAFR reports every year, and they have maintained a complete blackout towards even the simple mentioning of the report.

~from Walter Burien

Chapter IV

Wars Do Not “Just” Happen

There are a number of reasons why wars occur. Vast fortunes and vast political aims are achieved, however, the greater crime is how wars are set-up to occur, and how they are managed once they do happen. The Federalist Papers, the document which most comprehensively explains the logic behind the Constitution, discussed in great detail the true nature of war and why certain checks and balances were put in place to prevent American leaders from involving the American people from being involved in wars that do not directly threaten their shores. The only legitimate purpose of war is to defend ones homeland against foreign aggression.

To set the stage for war, both sides must first make one another look like the bad guy, to justify hating one another. A leader or country must be demonized for something they did or intend to do; something that they may have been provoked to do . . . something the public will likely not be told about. This is where brainwashing comes into play, via the news media.

In World War II, there were many German soldiers who were decent, good people, but they had to be brainwashed to hate the Americans. Our soldiers were decent, good people, but they had to be brainwashed to hate the Germans and so it goes with the Japanese or the Russians, etc. Therefore, each side is busy manipulating and lying to the public so “they” can have “their” war.

Next comes the funding to build the war machine. Who provides the funds for the ships, the aircraft, the bombs, the manpower? Tremendous profits are made from interest on money loaned and then from the manufacture of the weapons. Are the institutions who loan the funds encouraging the war, so vast profits “can” be made? Are they possibly financing both sides of the opposing forces? This is the crime that is greater than the event itself.

Is the stage being set today for World War III? Will all order be “allowed” to collapse and is the resulting chaos intended to let the people fight it out amongst themselves? Will the various ethnic and religious factions be aroused and invigorated throughout the world to fight “each other,” applying the age-old divide and conquer rule? Will

future terrorist attacks be encouraged and/or allowed as part of this equation?

There is documented evidence, hidden from the public, that proves who has been behind these criminal acts.

Are We In a Police State?

Even though there is no outward appearance of a “police state” at this time, we must consider the following. The traditional uniforms of our police have been blue or brown in color with visible name tags and nothing beyond this, other than a protective vest, has been used in the past.

Police today are abandoning their traditional uniforms for ones that resemble military and secret police uniforms. Black, camouflage, military helmets, face shields (hockey masks), with the absence of name tags, are being increasingly seen throughout the country. These outfits are “meant to intimidate.” Also, courtroom tactics such as paid informers (paid perjurers), expert witnesses (paid liars), and flagrant violations of due process are used to gain convictions for arrests that arise from wiretaps, boots kicking in doors, agent provocateurs, attack dogs, tanks, tear gas, entrapment, or assassination by sniper.

These measures violate our constitutional rights and are utterly lawless and abhorrent to a truly free society.

Illegal Immigration

Most would agree that illegal immigration is a problem today. Not everyone would agree, though, that it is part of the design leading to world government.

Lax enforcement of immigration laws appears to be intentional. Why? Because illegal immigrants overburden government agencies that must administrate the programs for which these non-taxpayers qualify at taxpayer expense. They saturate the job markets, drive wages down and impoverish the American working class. Illegal immigrants reduce the percentage of the population of real Americans and divide their voting power.

This would not make any sense unless taken into consideration with the plan to establish global government. Is this possibly another tactic being used to enhance the divide and conquer rule, while bleeding the American people dry at the same time?

Secret Experiments

Conspiracy Theories

Is there truly a “conspiracy”. . . a diabolical plan to establish world government?

Whatever one chooses to call it, there definitely is a movement toward global economies and the transition appears to be unstoppable. However, the destruction of our constitutional republic and the transfer of our rights in exchange for their federal funding, grants, fiat money/computer entries... “must be stopped.”

If there is a diabolical plan to establish world government, why have the America people not been informed about this?

First, anyone who would be in a position to expose the plan and did, are ostracized in the media and if that doesn't work, they are threatened, fired or simply murdered.

Another method of control is through corruption. Once someone is corrupted, they are not likely to expose “the big lie,” for fear of their own corruption being exposed.

The media maintains a complete blackout because reporters will not risk their jobs and the owners will not risk the loss of advertising dollars.

Chapter V

Sovereignty and Jurisdiction of Our Individual Rights

Since the formation of the first governments in America by the settlers at Jamestown in 1607 and the Pilgrims at Plymouth in 1620, a common and uniform system of law and government had been created in America based upon the English common law and Biblical precepts. This system of law and government formed by the colonies evolved into our constitution for the union of the States and the First Ten Amendments of the Bill of Rights based on these principles, with our individual rights being protected under common law jurisdiction.

At the same time Roman civil law was well entrenched in the colonies because it was the basis of the admiralty-maritime laws that governed commerce upon the seas internationally as well as ports of call.

However, something occurred after the Constitution was ratified which became the key that unlocked the destruction of our individual rights, and the protection of those rights under the common law, running counter to what the Founders intended.

Some researchers say the 14th Amendment of 1868, following the Civil War, was the pivotal Act which transferred jurisdiction of our rights into the "admiralty-maritime" jurisdiction of Roman civil law. Arguments supporting this state that the amendment was instrumental in shifting citizenship of each American from being primarily a state Citizen to being a citizen of the private corporation of the federal government and that the stage was set for private law to be used outside the Constitution to financially enslave the masses for their assumed benefit, destroying the republican union.

The 14th Amendment "has" proven to not only be unconstitutional, being repugnant to the original fundamental law, but of also being fraudulently ratified because of the numerous unlawful procedural acts committed through corruption and usurpation during its adoption.

Other research emphasizes that we may "never have" been sovereign and that the Corporation of England was merely traded after the revolution, to be controlled by the Corporation of the States. These were plantation colonies of the Crown in corporate structure before the planned war. Those agents of the Crown, the founding father lawyers, controlled by the middle and inner temples of the Crown, took control of the states (colonies) in the 1787 contract/covenant/constitution. So technically and legally, even historically, the common people never have been sovereign.

The argument states that irrefutably, the United States is a corporation and has citizens. States are corporations and have citizens. Are you a citizen of either? Are we then a "joint venture?" Do you claim to be a "resident" or "inhabitant?" Are we then a "person" by association with either corporation? Is this word in the definition of 26 U.S.C. 7701 (a) (1)? Therefore, under this principle a "U.S. citizen" is a citizen of the incorporating United States and that is why in 26 U.S.C. 7701 (a) 39 it states what it does. Under "joint venture" principle, all people who are "citizens of the State" are United States citizens, and are in contract with the State in its corporate capacity. When we buy property we are only holding the property of the State in a fiduciary capacity paying rent in the form of an ad valorem tax. Therefore, the U.S. can tax the fiduciary holding State property because they are citizens, or joint-venturers with the State in its corporate capacity. This is because the states are nothing more than "Districts" of the United States. This allows the U.S. to seek out and tax its subjects, people claiming "citizenship" of the state, for they are also U.S. citizens by congress' definition of "individual."

In order to constitute a joint venture, a joint enterprise, or common purpose, there must be an agreement (your claim of citizenship and/or registering to vote for the CEO) to enter into an undertaking in respect of which the parties have a community of interest and a common purpose for its performance.

Where this leads is arguing that the first Governor of the newly formed union, Governor Caswell of North Carolina, laid a property tax on the people and land, and every state in the union followed suit at that time. If American's were truly sovereign and free, there would be no way to lay a property tax and take that property if the people did not pay this tax. This in itself should be clear evidence that no one actually owns their property as a sovereign inhabitant, but are essentially paying rent, and if one chooses to not pay the tax, they will soon discover who does own the property. So, was the 14th Amendment possibly just the nail that sealed the sovereignty coffin of previous acts? Was the United States incorporated from the beginning or did that occur in 1871 with the "Act to Provide a Government for the District of Columbia?" No matter what the argument or interpretation of the whats, whens and hows of sovereignty and jurisdiction, the fact is, we are not sovereign inhabitant's upon the land at this date, with access only to admiralty-maritime jurisdiction in the courts.

The primary aspect of sovereignty should be that it is a natural, inherent right to own property and that our right to be secure within that property, as an independent inhabitant on the land, is unalienable. This is what the concept of America, and freedom, encompasses.

Note: Individuals across the country have argued constitutional issues countless times only to have them addressed as being frivolous and of no consequence. Judges have been heard to comment that they will not hear the Constitution in their court.

Interpretations presented here of our legal standing are just that, interpretations. Since no court in the nation will address why and how we lost access to our common law courts, it remains to ourselves to understand the web that has been spun for us.

Life Terms of Supreme Court Justices

Another consideration in the attempt to understand the avenues that led to the corruption of our constitution was alluded to by Thomas Jefferson when he wrote on December 25, 1820: “The judiciary of the United States is the subtle corps of sappers and miners constantly working underground to undermine the foundations of our confederated fabric. They are construing our Constitution from a coordination of a general and special government to a general and supreme one alone... Having found from experience that impeachment is an impracticable thing, a mere scare-crow, they consider themselves secure for life; they skulk from responsibility to public opinion, the only remaining hold on them, under a practice first introduced into England by Lord Mansfield. An opinion is huddled up in conclave, perhaps by a majority of one, delivered as if unanimous, and with the silent acquiescence of lazy or timid associates, by a crafty judge who sophisticates the law to his mind by the turn of his own reasoning.”

On September 2, 1821 he wrote: “To consider the judges as the ultimate arbiters of all constitutional questions, is very dangerous doctrine indeed and one which would place us under the despotism of an oligarchy. Our judges are as honest as other men, and not more so. They have, with others, the same passions for party, for power, and the privilege of their corps. Their maxim is ‘boni est amplificare jurisdictionem,’ and their power the more dangerous, as they are in office for life and not responsible as the other functionaries are to the elective control. The Constitution has erected no such single tribunal, knowing that to whatever hands confided, with the corruptions of time and party, its members would become despots.”

The Supreme Court of the judiciary branch of government, as provided by the Constitution, is in a position to make constitutional interpretations and decisions, yet, with all the frailties of being human, and being corruptible one of them, could this be the fatal flaw of our constitution, since “life” appointments do not allow “checks and balances” of accountability.

From 1807-1809 attempts had been made in each branch of Congress to amend the Constitution so that all judges should hold office for a term of years and be removable by the President on address by two-thirds of both Houses. This proposition was supported by resolves of the Legislatures of Pennsylvania and Vermont, as well as by the actions of the House of Delegates in Virginia and one branch of the legislature of Tennessee.

“It is impossible to introduce into society a greater change and a greater evil than this: The conversion of the law into an instrument of plunder. What are the consequences of such a perversion? It would take volumes to describe them all. Thus we must content ourselves with pointing out the most striking. In the first place, it erases from everyone’s conscience the distinction between justice and injustice.

Another effect of this tragic perversion of the law is that it gives an exaggerated importance to political passions and conflicts and to politics in general.”

~Fredrick Bastiat, 1848

The Missing 13th Amendment

In 1789, the House of Representatives compiled a list of possible Constitutional Amendments, some of which would ultimately become our Bill of Rights. The House proposed seventeen; the Senate reduced the list to twelve. During this process Senator Tristrain Dalton (Mass.) proposed an Amendment seeking to prohibit and provide a penalty for any American accepting a “title of Nobility” (RG 46 Records of the U.S. Senate). Although it wasn’t passed, this was the first time a “title of nobility” amendment was proposed.

In colonial America, attorneys trained attorneys but most held no “title of nobility” or “honor.” There was no requirement that one be a lawyer to hold the position of district attorney, attorney general, or judge; a citizen’s “counsel of choice” was not restricted to a lawyer; there were no state or national bar associations. The only organization that certified attorneys was the International Bar Association (IBA), chartered by the King of England, headquartered in London, and closely associated with the international banking system. Lawyers admitted to the IBA received the rank “Esquire”. . . a “title of nobility.”

“Esquire” was the principle title of nobility which the 13th Amendment sought to prohibit from the United States. Why? Because the loyalty of “Esquire” lawyers was suspect. Bankers and lawyers with an “Esquire” behind their

names were agents of the monarchy, members of an organization whose principle purposes were political, not economic, and regarded with the same wariness that some people today reserve for members of the KGB or CIA. Article 1, Sect. 9 of the Constitution sought to prohibit the International Bar Association (or any other agency that granted titles of nobility) from operating in America. But the Constitution neglected to specify a penalty, so the prohibition was ignored, and agents of the monarchy continued to infiltrate and influence the government. Therefore, a "title of nobility" amendment that specified a penalty (loss of citizenship) was proposed in 1789, and again in 1810 to prohibit bankers and lawyers from perverting government. This amendment was ratified by 1819 but was ignored and covered up by Lincoln's 13th Amendment.

Copies of the Constitution with the missing 13th Amendment, printed in at least eighteen separate publications by ten different states and territories over four decades, from 1822 to 1860, reads as follows:

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honor, or shall without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them."

The relevance here is that for any lawyer to practice law they must be a member of the American Bar Association. (Does Bar Assoc. stand for British Accredited Registry?) Who controls the ABA and can it be trusted? The intent of the missing 13th Amendment indicates that it cannot. Doesn't the current state of the legal system legitimize our distrust?

~from David Dodge

The Rule Of Law Today

An ancient practice of a doctrine called stare decisis must also be considered in the endeavor to understand our legal status today.

It means the decision has been made already, to look to past decisions and apply them today, with the most recent decision having the force of law. This legal doctrine was in common use in courtrooms before the U.S. Constitution was written. The practice of citing earlier court decisions as "precedence" began in about the 1400's as Great Britain was transitioning from a verbal to a written culture.

Past decisions, thus, are sometimes more pertinent as law than the written laws themselves, so today the Constitution is sometimes barred from court because lawyers believe it is better to discuss court cases where constitutional issues were discussed earlier. That way, they believe they are applying the law with consistency, with fewer contradictions. What this means is that when we deal with government agents, especially those who are lawyers, we must speak about court decisions, viewing written law as inferior, setting aside Codes, forgetting the significance of statutes and turning our back upon the words of the state and federal constitutions. Unless we speak the convoluted language of, "the winner versus the loser," we will not speak the language spoken by lawyers. We will instead be looked upon with disdain for our naivete.

All jurisprudence since before the founding of our nation has rested upon this principle of stare decisis. The U.S. Constitution itself was born into the doctrine of stare decisis. The Constitution is subject to stare decisis. Nothing in the U.S. Constitution negates or modifies the practice. So, if a president violates the Constitution and gets away with it, if he does something contrary to law and he is unchallenged, what he did stands in jurisprudence with more power than law itself. That presidential practice in violation of the Constitution means that he who rules under our Constitution has the right to rule in violation of the Constitution. Why? Because his behavior was unchallenged, so "it has been decided."

The federal government had its authority challenged in the mid 1800's. Eleven southern states wanted out of the union they helped create. The so-called "Civil War" set down some important precedents. It severed the relationship between the southern states and the District of Columbia. It cut the relationships between southern congressional representatives and the House and Senate. The too often ignored relationship that was severed by the war, however, was the relationship of the U.S. constitutional authority over the federal government. The relationship between the Constitution and the presidency was severed. Lincoln started the war with no declaration of war, as demanded by the Constitution, and prevented Congress from convening for three months. Lincoln viewed his breach of the Constitution as a violation of the "relation between the People and the Constitution."

Lincoln rightly understood that when a president violates the Constitution, he cuts off the People from their sovereign control of government as structured by the U.S. Constitution. He violated the provisions of the Constitution in his effort to preserve and defend it. He knew what he was doing and he knew that after the war he would have to make things right again, stating that he would "restore the relation between the Constitution and the

People” when the war was over. We must assume his heart was in the right place, however, his assassination prevented him from accomplishing this.

When Lincoln was killed, Congress would not allow President Johnson to carry out Lincoln's good intentions. “That was the act.” That congressional act of negligence stands under the stare decisis rule as the pivotal constitutional precedent in U.S. history.

In Reconstruction, duress and the rule of force took the place of the concepts expressed in the Constitution. Yet, duress and the rule of force have legitimacy today because the Constitution is subject to stare decisis. So, it became constitutional for government to violate the U.S. Constitution. That’s how the 14th and 15th Amendments were “ratified” as Northern soldiers forced the “correct” vote in Southern legislatures.

Congress did the act and Congress was not challenged. Therefore, duress became a legal, constitutional method for establishing contracts involving government agencies. Force, rather than common sense became common and appropriate legal behavior whenever one of us faces a government agency in court. Are you threatened by the power of the IRS? Beware. Their power is real and it has the force of law because of this convolution of history. Congress used “Reconstruction” under the power of the rifle to send northern troops into Southern legislators to force passage of laws required by the northern conquerors. Then, ironically, state legislators at the same time were forced to behave as though they had all the powers of statehood, the nation depending upon their agreement under duress, passing such acts as the 14th Amendment.

Because nothing has been done, no action taken to restore the relationship between the People and the U.S.

Constitution and no peace treaty ever struck to end the Civil War, we in the states and we as a nation as a whole, continue to live under military rule, not under the concepts expressed in the U.S. Constitution, but nevertheless under the Constitution as degraded by stare decisis.

~from Media ByPass

Are our courts guided by Stare Decis? If so, then in all minor instances, seeing that they operate by the force of the gun, we must cooperate with government authorities, allowing ourselves to live in peace, until we can get back to the written law, and the Constitution, in our courts.

You Decide.

*Where would we be today if the rule of precedent had controlled our forefathers? Is the Constitution to be enslaved by any such technical doctrine as **stare decisis**, and thus manacled with parchment chains? When Franklin stood at the bar of the English Commons ought he to have been satisfied to abandon the claims of the colonists because Mansfield, Thurlow, Eldon and Boston-born Copley could have demonstrated to him that precedent upon precedent fully sustained the right and the power of Parliament to tax the colonists without representation?*

It was the states that created the federal government and those states had their own Citizens. It was those Citizens that created the states that later created the Constitution and the federal government. Thus, governments are creatures of the creators.

The term U.S. citizen is used on many government forms and in federal law. What does the term mean? Does it mean the several states united under the Constitution or does it mean the U.S. corporation in singular form.

DECLARATION Of Violations to the Constitution for the United States of America

This appeal is directed to our Mothers, our Fathers, our Brothers, our Sisters, our Neighbors, our Friends; to research the following and consider the very fact that America today, is a conquered nation, without knowing she has been conquered.

The Constitution for the United States of America is a document that has allowed and protected a freedom greater than has ever before been experienced in the history of mankind. It evolved from the wisdom and inspiration of former ages with a collection of usages more perfect than any human wisdom could at once have framed. It has arisen out of social wants and been adapted to the necessities of actual practice, being a reflection of knowledge from the time of the Ancient Greeks to the era of the Magna Carta in 1215. Many people lost their lives for its

creation.

On July 4, 1776, Congress signed the unanimous Declaration of the thirteen united States of America. They declared that whenever any form of government becomes destructive of the ends to the People's unalienable rights of Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness, that it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it...But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government. The first paragraph of the Declaration of Independence states, "When in the course of human events it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which connected them with another...a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation."

This appeal, with respect to the opinions of mankind, impels us to declare the causes of our grievances, demanding that our constitutional republic, the United States of America, a sovereign nation-state, be restored to its proper, organic, form.

Our history since King George III is of secret powers and institutions that have seized control of the United States of America, the Republic, is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny, a New World Order, over these States. We are no longer a government of the People, by the People, for the People, but are today a government, of the elite corporation, by the elite corporation, for the elite corporation.

These secret powers and institutions influence Congress and legislation, through special interests, for their benefit and the design to establish world government, being comprised of; the owners of the Federal Reserve System, the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the United Nations, the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), the Trilateral Commission, the Royal Institute for International Affairs (RIIA), the Club of Rome, various other secret societies and the international banking community.

To prove this, let facts be submitted to a candid world.

On July 28, 1868, Congress fraudulently ratified the 14th Amendment, closing the southern plantation to free the negro slaves and opened the federal plantation to make everyone slaves, altering our citizenship status to replace our Bill of Rights with a due process clause open to their interpretation and subsequent emasculation.

On February 25, 1913, the 16th Amendment to the Constitution (income tax) was, through their influence, signed into law. The income tax is an unconstitutional direct tax on peoples' wages, thereby transferring the assets of the American People to the government and the International Bankers.

On December 23, 1913, the delegated authority of Congress to be responsible for the nation's currency was, through their influence, illicitly, unconstitutionally and treasonously surrendered to the private Federal Reserve Corporation, whose class A stockholders are various international bankers. Nowhere does the Constitution allow for a private institution to issue our currency and charge interest for it.

They intentionally shorted the money supply in the national money markets in 1929, forcing the United States of America into the Great Depression of the 1930s.

They influenced the People, and Congress, to adopt the charter of the United Nations, a world governing body, without constitutional authority.

They influenced the passage of the North American Free Trade Agreement in 1993, allowing unfair trade practices that benefit the elite corporations and encourage American industrial flight to foreign countries with cheap labor.

They have influenced the imposition of federal mandates on every local and state entity in the nation through binding federal funding, nullifying the protection of the 10th Amendment and States rights along with our most fundamental concept of government that reserves "Power to the People."

They have influenced the creation of a two-tier accounting structure of all local, state and federal agencies that deliberately conceal from the People excessive amounts of investment funds not shown in annual budget statements, but are hidden in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports.

They have, through their influence and under the pretense of emergencies which they themselves have created, erected a multitude of new offices to harass the People, and eat out their substance, along with expanded search and seizure laws that further erode our constitutional rights.

They have made judges dependent on their will alone, for the tenure of their offices, and the amount and payment of their salaries.

They have subjected the People, through their influence, to a jurisdiction foreign to our Constitution (admiralty rather than common law), taking away our charters, abolishing our most valuable laws and altering fundamentally the forms of our government, forcing our assent to their acts of pretended legislation.

They have deprived us, in many cases, of the benefits of trial by jury, dictating what law must be considered in trial, or through intimidation and threats of extreme sentences.

They have protected their own by mock trials, from punishment for wrongs which they should commit on the

Inhabitants of these States.

They have established control of every significant news source in America, depriving the People of unbiased and truthful reporting.

They have manipulated the American People to favor the candidate of their choosing through control of the syndicated media.

They have manipulated world markets, allowing themselves unfair advantage over their competitors while enhancing avenues to plunder and/or extort a nation's natural resources.

They have forced the American People, through their influence, to underwrite the financial blunders of politicians and elite corporate interests.

They influenced the introduction of environmental laws that overregulate small business enterprise, rendering them incapable of competing with elite corporations.

They have influenced lax enforcement of immigration laws, allowing illegal immigration to overburden government agencies while inundating the labor markets, driving wages down, and reducing the voting power of Americans.

They have protected the illegal drug trade, and "their" profits, while allowing proliferation that has destroyed the moral fabric of American society.

They have influenced and allowed secret research experiments, effecting the physical and mental health of the People across the nation.

They had advance knowledge of, and allowed the attacks to occur on the ocean liner Lusitania, Pearl Harbor, the Oklahoma City Federal Building and the World Trade Center (twice).

They have involved America in foreign wars, without a declaration of war, for the protection of elite corporate interests and profits.

They have financed both sides of every significant war in recent history, advancing the design for world government.

SUMMARY: Through the knowing or unknowing complicity of their Agents (local, state and federal officials) they have completely debauched the monetary system, destroyed the lives and livelihoods of millions of people, aided and abetted the enemies of America and human freedom in general, declared war on the American People and their posterity, incited rebellion and anarchy within the de jure society, taken false oaths, entered into seditious foreign agreements, pacts, confederations, treaties and alliances, and under a pretense of "emergency," formed and established a multitude of offices of alien allegiance to perpetuate their plunder, conquest and subjugation of what was once considered "the last great hope of human freedom"—all to ultimately establish a New World Order.

By continuing to administer this perfidy, acting for a foreign power, treason is being committed against not only the Constitution, but against truth, rightness and the real Sovereigns of the nation, the People themselves.

The First Amendment to the Constitution

"Congress shall make no law respecting the establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or of the right of the people to peaceably assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances."

Redress of Grievances

The right to redress of grievances is the cornerstone of a relationship between the People and their government based upon mutual trust and respect. When the People no longer have that right or their grievances are ignored, then the government no longer trusts or respects them.

Growing numbers of extremely dedicated and knowledgeable Americans have been incessantly petitioning their government for a redress of grievances for decades with artful, eloquent and impassioned grievances, filed with the proper authorities and specific to every front upon which was the vision of the Founding Fathers. At the policy making levels in the legislatures, the judiciaries and the executives of city, county, state and federal governments throughout the several States, they have been fully apprised of the usurpations through thousands of petitions for redress of thousands of grievances.

The Usurpations Continue Unabated!

Chapter VI

To The People:

There are millions of dedicated, honest, hard working individuals employed within government agencies throughout the country. This booklet is not intended to minimize that honor, however, encroachment on the freedoms of the American People is reaching an unbearable level.

The time has come where public officials must ask themselves if they will continue to “just do their job,” even though they may be violating our individual rights.

Our best path to follow is through education and treating people in government positions as you would like to be treated yourself. We must support and compel them to do their job in accordance to the supreme law of the land. Similarly government employees must respect the citizens which they have been commissioned to serve.

To Public Officials:

As a public official, you raised your right hand and swore an oath to uphold and defend the Constitution for the United States of America against all enemies, both foreign and domestic.

The Constitution is a document that has allowed and protected a freedom greater than ever before has been experienced by mankind. It evolved from the wisdom and inspiration of former ages with a collection of usages more perfect than any human wisdom could at once have framed. It has arisen out of social wants and been adapted to the necessities of actual practice, being a reflection of knowledge from the time of the Roman Empire to the era of the Magna Carta in 1215. Many people lost their lives for its creation.

Today a very serious crisis is developing in which we have individuals who wish to throw to the winds this wisdom of the ages and destroy our freedoms of Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness to ultimately enslave mankind under a “one world government.” This is once again the age old battle of good v evil with the “good” being of Christian values to treat your fellow human being equally, and the “evil” being that element of mankind who wish to loot and plunder their fellow man for their own gain. Our Constitution is based on Christian values and is totally antithetical to the evil powers who wish to gain total control of the people.

The evil power today is embodied in the “international banking community,” along with various secret societies and institutions. They have usurped our constitution through fraud, deception, coercion and corruption, being the same power that was fought against in our revolution and was the true reason for the conflict. These Foreign Principles control the political process of the United States, including the presidency, our legal system, our money, the media and our educational institutions.

Through the knowing or unknowing complicity of yourselves as their Agents (local, state and federal officials), they have completely debauched the monetary system, destroyed the lives and livelihoods of millions of people, aided and abetted the enemies of America and human freedom in general, declared war on the American people and their posterity, incited rebellion and anarchy within the de jure society, taken false oaths, entered into seditious foreign agreements, pacts, confederations, treaties and alliances, under a pretense of “emergency” (which they themselves created) formed and established a multitude of offices of alien allegiance to perpetuate their plunder, conquest and subjugation of what was once considered “the last great hope of human freedom.”

You, as a public official are either educated of this “**or**” you have been deceived, corrupted or coerced and are being used as a tool to circumvent and destroy our Constitution and our freedom in the attempt of the financial oligarchy to establish a world government. The time has come for you to choose which side you are on and stop defending unjust laws. If you violate an individuals constitutional rights, then you have broken your oath of office, which is a contractual relationship between yourself and We The People.

By continuing to administer this perfidy, acting for a foreign power, whether it be lying, planting false evidence, illegal searches and seizures, trespassing on private property, etc. etc...you are committing treason against not only the Constitution, but against truth, rightness and the real Sovereigns of the nation . . . the people themselves.

If you have committed yourself to the truth, we salute you and you will have the full support of the People. We love our country and we need your help to “restore” the Organic Constitution of 1791 to its original capacity.

Dear County Commission and/or Sheriff:

The 10th Amendment to the Constitution for the United States reads as follows: “The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.”

The Amendment defines the total scope of federal power as being that specifically granted by the United States Constitution and no more, and that the federal government was created by the states specifically to be an agent of the states. However, today the states are treated as agents of the federal government due to federal funding and federal mandates, some being in violation of the 10th Amendment to the Constitution for the United States.

Because of acceptance of federal funding, you have bound our county to federal mandates which have “stolen” from us the most fundamental principle of our government, that being “Power to the People.” We consider this to be in violation of your oath of office and this letter is to serve as a Notice and Demand that you as our duly elected agents of this county, stop accepting federal funding that binds this county to federal mandates that are beyond the scope of constitutionally delegated powers.

The offices you hold at the county level present the greatest challenge to the misuse of authority by a central government. The county commission, along with the arresting power of the county sheriff, has the power to stop state, federal or international agencies from entering the county when they intend to violate our rights. The sheriff remains to be the only chief executive of the county who has arresting power through whom agencies must exercise their authority.

Further, in every County Sheriffs Department throughout the country, a well hidden fraud has been perpetrated on the American People, and the County Sheriff(s), by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), which puts every sheriff in each county throughout the country in personal jeopardy every time that sheriff goes out and enforces an IRS Levy. In all states where this has been researched, the County Recorder is ordered to perjure the county record by recording a mere “NOTICE OF TAX LIEN” in an alphabetical Tax Lien Index. This does “not” make a Notice of Lien, a legal Lien. This becomes “fraud” when this act is committed and the County Sheriff becomes party to that fraud when they participate in the seizure of an individual’s property.

The federal income tax system constitutes an attack on the rule of law, stripping the American People of due process protections secured by the Bill of Rights and otherwise accommodates government encroachment beyond constitutionally enumerated powers.

Please understand:

We elected you County Officials to protect “Us”, keeping your actions within the framework of the covenant between you as elected officials and We the People, that covenant being the original Organic Constitution of 1791, and our State Constitution. We did not elect you to conform to the edicts of federal or international (U.N.) bodies. We support your actions within the framework above mentioned.

Sample Petition:

Petition To The County

Commission/Sheriff:

The County is the building block of the American political system and the sheriff, county commissioner and county judge are the local chieftains in the proper functioning of county government. These offices present the greatest challenge to the misuse of authority by a central government.

Whereas: The 10th Amendment to the Constitution for the United States reads as follows: “The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people;” and

Whereas: The 10th Amendment defines the total scope of federal power as being that specifically granted by the United States Constitution and no more; and

Whereas: The scope of power defined by the 10th Amendment means that the federal government was created by the states specifically to be an agent of the states; and

Whereas: Today, the states are in fact treated as agents of the federal government; and

Whereas: Many federal mandates are directly in violation of the 10th Amendment to the Constitution for the United States; and

Whereas: _____ County, State of _____ is accepting federal funding that binds this county to federal mandates allowing regulations that are destroying our livelihoods and way life; and

Whereas: Elected officials of _____ County are bound by oath to defend and preserve the Constitutions for the United States of America and the State of _____, and to preserve life, liberty and property of _____ County Inhabitants; now

Therefore: We, the undersigned Inhabitants of _____ County, State of _____ hereby demand that the _____ County Commission/Sheriff, State of _____, as our agents, fulfill

their sworn obligation to uphold the Constitutions for the United States and the State of _____, and cease and desist, effective immediately, accepting federal funding that binds this county to federal mandates that are beyond the scope of constitutionally delegated powers and to stop:

1. State, federal or international agencies from entering the county when they do, or intend to, violate any of our individual constitutional rights.
2. State, federal or international agencies from entering the county when they intend to take control of, or confiscate, our resources or land, by any method that violates the Constitution.
3. Illegal searches and seizures.

The county commission, along with the arresting power of the county sheriff, has the power to stop state, federal or international agencies from entering the county and we willfully agree to forgo federal funding for you to do so.

General Index to: The Constitution for the United States of America

Preamble:

Describes the basic function of our government.

1. To establish Justice.
2. Insure domestic Tranquility.
3. Provide for the common defence.
4. Promote the general Welfare.
5. To secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity.
6. To ordain and establish a Constitution for the United States of America.

Article I

Describes the legislative powers and limitations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Article II

Describes the executive powers and limitations of the president.

Article III

Describes the judicial powers and jurisdiction of judges, federal courts and the Supreme Court.

Article IV

Describes the status of the States and guarantees a Republican form of government.

Article V

Describes guidelines for amending the Constitution.

Article VI

Describes national obligations and declares the Constitution to be the supreme law of the land.

Article VII

Ratification of the Constitution.

Articles in addition to, and Amendment of the Constitution for the United States of America, were proposed to Congress, and ratified by the Legislatures of the several States, pursuant to the fifth Article of the Constitution, on December 15, 1791 entitled:

The Bill of Rights

Preamble: The conventions of a number of the States having at the time of their adopting the Constitution, expressed a desire, in order to prevent misconstruction or abuse of its powers, that further declaratory and restrictive clauses should be added: And as extending the ground of public confidence in the Government, will best insure the beneficent ends of its institution.

Article I

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

Article II

A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.

Article III

No soldier shall, in time of peace be quartered in any house, without the consent of the Owner, nor in time of war, but in a manner to be prescribed by law.

Article IV

The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

Article V

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use without just compensation.

Article VI

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witness against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of Counsel for his defense.

Article VII

In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

Article VIII

Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

Article IX

The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

Article X

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

Article XIV

Describes the status of citizenship; apportionment of representatives; who is prohibited from holding office; public debt.

At least 10 States were held by force of arms until the proper authorities agreed to vote for this amendment, raising questions as to the legality in ratification of the 14th amendment.

Read the Declaration of Independence.

General Overview

1. War for Independence. Constitution established in 1787 to provide and secure rights. First Ten Amendments (Bill of Rights) ratified in 1791.
2. Civil War. Lincoln assassinated in 1865 before he is able to restore proper government. The 14th Amendment ratified in 1868 under force of arms. Stare Decisis rules of force apply to this day.
3. Federal Reserve System and Income Tax (16th Amendment) established in 1913, taking away control of our money.
4. Depression brought on in 1929 by pulling \$80 billion from circulation. Bankruptcy declared on June 5, 1933. Foreign entities (IMF/World Bank) placed as creditor/trustee of the UNITED STATES. Gold standard removed.
5. Social Security established in 1935 opening the door for a multiplicity of federal encroachments into the lives of Americans.
6. United Nations established in 1945 to be the enforcing arm of world government.
7. Coin substitution imposed during the Johnson administration in 1964.
8. North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) adopted in 1995.

Nation Conquering

1. Get control of the money, in cahoots with government, remove the gold standard, declare fiat money as legal tender.
2. Impose progressive income tax.
3. Force the government into heavy debt with wars. Bail out with loans in exchange for natural resources as collateral.
4. Manipulate world markets causing inflation, recession, depression, bankruptcy. Bail out with loans in exchange for natural resources as collateral.
5. Buy off politicians, media, educational institutions, with the fiat money.
6. Corrupt the legal system.
7. Begin federal funding, grant programs, etc. in exchange for rights.
8. Wrap tentacles around all facets of the nation and begin to slowly bleed the infrastructure.

America Under Attack

We have been under assault. We risk losing our right of free expression, our right to own property, our rights of self defense and preservation, our right to be governed from our states rather than a federal bureaucracy, and soon, if we do not prevail, our right to adequate representation in our own government. Even our history and that of our ancestors is under attack.

We will witness the military and economic power of this nation used against us at the will of a few, not the will of the People. We will be disarmed, disenfranchised, and taught to hate one another. We will be forced to believe that the U.S. Government, and not our Creator, is our caretaker and will demand complete control over our lives.

It is for this reason, that we cannot . . . we shall not surrender.

To our adversaries: We have seen your tactics, we know your strategy. And we fear that you have little or no plan to simply walk away. If it is war that you are thinking of . . . if it is a war that you are planning, let it be known that you will not wage a war against the "unsuspecting." You will name the stakes. You will fire the first shot. You will make the choice.

As you have made your positions known to your leaders, we have done likewise. But unlike you, we take orders

from a Higher Power, and not from corrupt men. We are as prepared as we were at the end of last year. You have heard our demand. We want our country back. Make no mistake about it . . . we will and shall continue to use the soapbox, the ballot box, the jury box, and if left with no other choice, the other box you seek to take away from us. As many of us today stand in fear of what may become of us, we cannot and shall not prevail until each of us looks deep within ourselves, understands the crossroad at which we stand, looks our adversaries in the eye and says without reservation or hesitation... You will have to kill all of us.

J.J. Johnson
Editor and Chief
Sierra Times.com

“Still, if you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed, if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not so costly, you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a precarious chance for survival. There may be a worse case. You may have to fight when there is no chance of victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves.”

~Winston Churchill

“...tell me when did liberty ever exist when the sword and the purse were given up from the people? Unless a miracle shall interpose, no nation ever did, nor ever can retain its liberty after the loss of the sword and the purse. Guard with jealous attention the public liberty. Suspect everyone who approaches that jewel. Unfortunately, nothing will preserve it but downright force and whenever you give up that force, you are inevitably ruined.”

~Patrick Henry

Closing

Once upon a time a group of individuals declared to the world that they would fight and risk death rather than tolerate the oppressions of abusive government.

Ours is a very different country today from what it was in 1776. Are we too complacent to risk our own comfort so that our children may live in freedom? Will we openly resist despotism as a nation, or will we just complain and bicker amongst ourselves while our sacred freedoms are usurped by globalist monsters?

This booklet shows that the American people were to be masters of government servants and that county government, organized by the people to best serve their needs, is the basic building block of our political system. The unconstitutional acts that have been imposed on the American people can be removed with the stroke of a pen. However, that pen will not move without the power of the people behind it.

If you cannot believe—will not believe—that there are, in fact, individuals/groups that have been intentionally ruining our country and her people, if the walls in your mind are too great to accept the truth, then understand that these walls are the primary obstacle which must be overcome in our countrymen before this nation can be restored to its proper form.

If this, the last great hope of human freedom, is lost, it will have happened on our watch. If so . . . shame on all of us!

“Power concedes nothing without a demand. It never did and never will. Find out just what people will submit to, and you have found out the exact amount of injustice and wrong which will be imposed upon them, and these will continue till they have resisted with either words or blows, or with both. The limits of tyrants are prescribed by the endurance of those whom they suppress.”

~Frederick Douglas

Samuel Adams

“The liberties of our Country, the freedom of our civil constitution are worth defending at all hazards: And it is our duty to defend them against all attacks. We have receiv’d them as a fair Inheritance from our worthy Ancestors: They purchas’d them for us with toil and danger and expense of treasure and blood; and transmitted them to us with care and diligence. It will bring an everlasting mark of infamy on the present generation, enlightened as it is, if we should suffer them to be wrested from us by violence without a struggle; or be cheated out of them by the artifices of false and designing men. Of the latter we are in most danger at present: Let us therefore be aware of it. Let us

contemplate our forefathers and posterity; and resolve to maintain the rights bequeath'd to us from the former, for the sake of the latter . . . Instead of sitting down satisfied with the efforts we have already made, which is the wish of our enemies, the necessity of the times, more than ever, calls for our utmost circumspection, deliberation, fortitude and perseverance. Let us remember, that, if we suffer tamely a lawless attack upon our liberty, we encourage it, and involve others in our doom. It is a very serious consideration, which should deeply impress our minds that millions yet unborn may be the miserable sharers in the event."

Where To Obtain Further Information

There are book catalogs available that list hundreds of books and videos which detail everything that has been discussed in this booklet.

Shortwave Radio broadcasts have call-in talk shows that discuss what we are not told on the evening news. The talk shows can also be heard on the C band satellite network.

Numerous newspapers and magazines published throughout the country expose the "truth."

Suggested Reading:

Constitution: Fact or Fiction

by Dr. Eugene Shroeder

Constitutional Income, Do You Have Any? by Phil Hart

The Law That Never Was

by Bill Benson

The Most Secret Science

by Col. Archibald Roberts

The Conspirators Heirarchy/Committee of 300 by Dr. John Coleman

Barbarians Inside the Gates

by Donn de Grand Pre'

The Unseen Hand

by A. Ralph Epperson

Secrets of the Federal Reserve

by Eustace Mullins

The Empire of "THE CITY"

by E.C. Knuth

Immunization: The Reality Behind the Myth

by Walene James

The Federalist Papers

Quantity ordering information

The hardcopy of Common Sense II is a 3" x 6", 96-page booklet that is available singly as well as in quantity:

1-9—\$2 each; 10-24—\$1.50 each;

25-99—\$1.25 each; 100+—\$1 each

(S&H: 1-9 n/a; 10-24+20%; 25-99+15%; over100+10%)

To Order: Send check, money order or Liberty Currency to

The Idaho Observer

PO Box 457

Spirit Lake, Idaho 83869

(208) 255-2307